DEVIC'S NEUROMYELITIS OPTICA (NMO) IS A SEPARATE ENTITY FROM MS AND SHOULD BE TREATED BY PLASMAPHERESIS (PRO) B.G. Weinshenker

Dept. of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester MN, USA

Neuromyelitis optica (NMO) is an inflammatory demyelinating disease, as is MS¹. It has a monophasic form, which constitutes a minority of cases, and the relationship of this subtype to its vastly more common relapsing form remains uncertain^{2, 3}. It is characterized primarily by optic neuritis and transverse myelitis, both of which are symptoms that can occur in MS. However, it is clinically, radiologically, and pathologically distinct from MS⁴. The relapsing form of NMO predominates in women (80-90% of cases), and typically begins in late-middle age, although there is a childhood-onset form that may have some unique features⁵. Attacks are generally more severe than in MS, and accompanied by long lesions in spinal cord and optic nerve. Although the brain was previously thought to be spared, it is now well accepted that brain lesions occur, and often are distinct from those seen in MS⁶. Characteristic syndromes associated with brain involvement include intractable vomiting or hiccoughs⁷ associated with lesions in the dorsal medulla and encephalopathy associated with hypothalamic or brainstem/corpus callosum lesions, which are rarely seen in MS⁶. Brain involvement is particularly prominent in children with NMO⁵. Pathologically, features that distinguish NMO from MS include prominent eosinophil/neutrophil infiltrate, prominent necrosis and cavitation in the cord, prominent perivascular deposition of immunoglobulin and activated complement components accompanied by hyalinization of blood vessels⁸.

A serum autoantibody directed to aquaporin-4, when detected (in approximately 70% of patients with this condition in contemporary series that use appropriately stringent clinical criteria), is able to distinguish NMO from MS and other conditions with which it might be confused⁹. When contemporary diagnostic criteria that incorporate clinical, radiological and serological studies are applied, MS can be distinguished from NMO in 90% of cases¹⁰. The presence of aquaporin-4 specific autoantibodies predicts conversion to NMO and not MS in patients with incomplete forms of the disease, such as transverse myelitis or optic neuritis^{11, 12}. Although passive transfer of this disease with immunoglobulin has not yet been successfully reported, a number of compelling pieces of evidence argue for the pathogenicity of the autoantibody, including the absence of AQP4 immunostaining in lesions of NMO despite the preservation of other markers of astrocytes which are the cells that express AQP4¹³.

The distinction between NMO and MS is more than academic as immunomodulatory treatments for MS are ineffective for NMO as documented by extensive clinical experience and published case series¹⁴. Treatment choices in NMO are limited by a lack of randomized controlled clinical trials. However, treatment of acute attacks of NMO is informed by a randomized, sham-controlled clinical trial that convincingly established the benefits of plasmapheresis when corticosteroids fail¹⁵. This observation has been supported by other uncontrolled clinical series that document benefit in approximately half of NMO patients treated with plasmapheresis^{16, 17}.

Effective long term treatment with a variety of immunosuppressive agents (typically azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil and more recently, rituximab) is often accompanied by decrease in titer or elimination of detectable autoantibody to aquaporin-4^{18, 19}, which lends support to the hypothesis that antibodies to aquaporin-4 are pathogenic.

References

Wingerchuk DM, Lennon VA, Lucchinetti CF et al. The spectrum of neuromyelitis optica

Lancet Neurology. 2007;6:805-815

Wingerchuk DM, Weinshenker BG. Neuromyelitis optica - Clinical predictors of a relapsing course and survival Neurology.2003;60:848-853 Banwell B, Tenembaum S, Lennon VA et al. Neuromyelitis optica-IgG in childhood inflammatory demyelinating CNS disorders. Neurology. 2008;70:344-352

Weinshenker BG. Neuromyelitis optica is distinct from multiple sclerosis.[see comment]. Archives of Neurology. 2007;64:899-901

McKeon A, Lennon VA, Lotze T et al. Aquaporin-4 autoimmunity: A distinctive pediatric inflammatory CNS disorder. Neurology 2008;In press Pittock SJ, Lennon VA, Krecke K et al. Brain abnormalities in neuromyelitis optica. Arch Neurol. 2006;63:390-396

Misu T, Fujihara K, Nakashima I et al. Intractable hiccup and nausea with periaqueductal lesions in neuromyelitis optica. Neurology. 2005;65:1479-1482

Lucchinetti CF, Mandler RN, McGavern D et al. A role for humoral mechanisms in the pathogenesis of Devic's neuromyelitis optica. Brain. 2002;125:1450-1461

Lennon VA, Wingerchuk DM, Kryzer TJ et al. A serum autoantibody marker of neuromyelitis optica: Distinction from multiple sclerosis. Lancet. 2004;364:2106-2112

Wingerchuk DM, Lennon VA, Pittock SJ et al. Revised diagnostic criteria for neuromyelitis optica. Neurology. 2006;66:1485-1489 Weinshenker B, Wingerchuk D, Vukusic S et al. Neuromyelitis Optica IgG predicts relapse following longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis. Ann Neurol. 2006;59:566-569

Matiello M, Lennon VA, Jacob A et al. NMO-IgG predicts the outcome of recurrent optic neuritis. Neurology 2008:In Press

Roemer SF, Parisi JE, Lennon VA et al. Pattern-specific loss of aquaporin-4 immunoreactivity distinguishes neuromyelitis optica from multiple sclerosis.[see comment]. Brain. 2007;130:1194-1205

Papeix C, de Seze J, Pierrot-Deseilligny C et al. French therapeutic experience of Devic's disease: A tretrospective study of 33 cases. Neurology. 2005;64:A328-A329

Weinshenker B, O'Brien P, Petterson T et al. A randomized trial of plasma exchange in acute CNS inflammatory demyelinating disease. Ann Neurol. 1999;46:878-886

Keegan M, Pineda AA, McClelland RL et al. Plasma exchange for severe attacks of CNS demyelination: predictors of response. Neurology. 2002;58:143-146

Watanabe S, Nakashima I, Misu T et al. Therapeutic efficacy of plasma exchange in NMO-lgG-positive patients with neuromyelitis optica. MS. 2007;13:128-132

Weinstock-Guttman B, Miller C, Yeh E et al. Neuromyelitis optica immunoglobulins as a marker of disease activity and response to therapy in patients with neuromyelitis optica. Mult Scler. 2008;In press

Takahashi T, Fujihara K, Nakashima I et al. Anti-aquaporin-4 antibody is involved in the pathogenesis of NMO: a study on antibody titre. Brain. 2007:130:1235-1243